SUMMARY OF INCIDENT:

On July 6, 2015 at approximately 4:55 p.m., at XXXX E. 89th Street, CPD Officers A and B conducted a traffic stop of Subject 1 and Subject 2 for failure to wear seatbelts. The officers pulled over the vehicle and had an encounter with each subject which led to the arrest of Subject 1. During the encounter with Subject 1 and Subject 2, the officers called for additional units to assist. The assisting officers aided in getting Subject 2 and her two children out of the vehicle for it to be impounded.

ALLEGATIONS:

On July 6, 2015, the complainant, Subject 2, submitted an online complaint with the Civilian Office of Police Accountability (COPA), formerly the Independent Police Review Authority (IPRA). The online complaint was registered by former IPRA Intake Aide A. Subject 2, Subject 1, and her children, [juvenile information redacted] alleged that on July 6, 2015, at approximately 4:55 p.m., at XXXX E. 89th Street, near Chicago Park A, **Officer A**, #XXXX:

- 1. snatched Subject 1's identification out of his hands,
- 2. pulled Subject 1 out of his vehicle by pulling on his left wrist,
- 3. pushed Subject 1 against his car,
- 4. twisted Subject 1's hand behind his back; and,
- 5. pushed Subject 1 inside the police vehicle.

On the same date, location and approximate time of incident, it is alleged that **Officer B**, **#XXXX**

- 1. pushed Subject 1 against his car,
- 2. twisted Subject 1's right arm behind his back; and,
- 3. pushed Subject 1 inside the police vehicle.

In addition, on the same date, location and approximate time of incident, it is alleged that **Officer C**, **#XXXX**;

- 1. pulled Subject 2 out of her vehicle,
- 2. twisted Subject 2's arm behind her back when handcuffing her,
- 3. [juvenile information redacted]¹; and,
- 4. [juvenile information redacted].

It is further alleged that on this same date, location and approximate time of incident, **Officer D**, #XXXXX;

- 1. pulled Subject 2 out of her vehicle,
- 2. twisted Subject 2's arm behind her back when handcuffing her,
- 3. [juvenile information redacted]²; and,

¹ Subject 2 failed to cooperate in authorizing her juvenile children to provide a sworn statement.

4. [juvenile information redacted].

APPLICABLE RULES:

Rule 2: Any action or conduct which impedes the Department's efforts to achieve its policy and goals or brings discredit upon the Department.

Rule 8: Disrespect to or maltreatment of any person, while on or off duty.

INVESTIGATION:

This investigation³, to include interviews of the complainants; Subject 1 and Subject 2 as well as [juvenile information redacted]; involved Officers A, B, C and D; witness Officers E and F; a Dash-camera video, ET photographs; and, department reports to include but not limited to, the Arrest Report, Event Query, Crime Scene Processing Report and Case Incident Report, did not reveal sufficient evidence to prove or disprove the above allegations. All department reports document the same or substantially the same information.

ET photos taken of [juvenile information redacted], depict minor injuries but do not prove that such injuries were sustained by the encounter with Officer C and/or Officer D. Subject 2 stated [juvenile information redacted]. Furthermore, the alleged injuries made by Subject 2 of cuts and bruises to her wrists from being handcuffed is not supported by any physical evidence.

All involved officers admit to using control tactics with both Subject 1 and Subject 2 but deny the use of excessive force. Subject 1 and Subject 2 admitted to their own noncompliance with officer commands. Based on their admission, they are passive resistors according to the Use of Force Model. Nonetheless, the physical level of force used by the involved officers cannot be proven or disproven.

Therefore, based upon the totality of the circumstances, COPA recommends a finding of **NOT SUSTAINED** for all allegations against all involved officers.

Approved:	
Supervisor	Major Case Specialist

² Subject 2 failed to cooperate in authorizing her juvenile children to provide a sworn statement.

³ This investigation initiated under the former Independent Police Review Authority and has since transitioned to COPA.